

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Writ Petition No.1643 of 2005

Office Notes, Office Court or Judge's Order
Memorandum of Coram
appearance, Court's
Orders & Directors
and Registrar's Order

Mr.P.N Karlekar for petitioner

Mr.S.S.Kulkarni for R l to 4

CORAM; A.P.SHAH J.

Dt 17.10.2005

P.C.

. The learned counsel for the petitioner fairly stated that pursuant to the order passed under Mah. Restoration of Lands to Scheduled Tribes Act, 1974, the land has been already restored to the respondent.

.The first contention raised in the petition is that the provisions of the Act would not apply to the transaction which had taken place prior to the commencement of the

Act. This contention is totally misconceived. The Act specifically covers the past transactions.

. The other contention is based upon the alleged relinquishment deed. The so-called relinquishment cannot have any effect in view of the specific provision of the Act which provides for restoration of land to the tribal. Petition is dismissed.